

Pesticide Interactions with Peanut Cultivars¹

E. W. Hauser*, G. A. Buchanan, J. E. Harvey, W. L. Currey, D. W. Gorbet, and N. A. Minton²

ABSTRACT

Interactions among six peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivars, herbicides individually and in sequence, and a systemic insecticide were studied on four soil series in 1976 and on three soil series in 1977. Yields of peanuts grown on all soils were reduced significantly in 1976 by intensive sequential applications of the five herbicidal treatments. Additionally, repeated dinoseb treatment reduced yields on the Ruston and Greenville soils. In 1977, yield decreases did not occur from any herbicide treatment on the Dothan or Greenville soils; however, yields on the Tifton soil were reduced by intensive sequential herbicidal treatment or by 2,4-DB alone. Herbicides did not interact significantly with cultivars except on Greenville soil in 1977 when yields of only the Tifrun cultivar were increased by treatment with either benefin or dinoseb. The systemic insecticide disulfoton, with one exception, consistently increased the yield of peanuts when averaged over cultivars and herbicides. Also, in 1977, on the Greenville soil, Tifrun yields were increased significantly by disulfoton but disulfoton effects on other cultivars were not statistically significant. Market quality tests indicated that the percentage of sound mature kernels (SMK) was frequently reduced by intensive herbicidal applications.

Key Words: Cultivars, Pesticide-interactions, Herbicide-sequences, Disulfoton, peanut.

During recent years, the intensity of herbicide usage by farmers on peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) has increased greatly. Introduction of new higher yielding peanut cultivars and the attainment of higher yield levels have accompanied this increase in herbicide usage. Many researchers and extension specialists are concerned about genetic vulnerability of crops to intensified pesticide treatment. Hauser *et al.* (2) summarized previous literature on pesticide interactions in oilseed crops and also presented new results which indicated that (a) peanut cultivars often respond differentially to pesticides and (b) that these interactions are influenced by edaphic and environmental conditions. On three of four soil series, an insecticide X cultivar interaction occurred accompanied by significant yield increases of Florunner, the most widely grown peanut cultivar in the United States. Herbicides interacted with cultivars in four of the eight experiments. In further studies, Hauser *et al.* (3) found that the yields of Florunner were increased by selected moderate herbicidal sequences involving only preplant incorporated and "cracking" treatments. However, yields were decreased by intensive herbicidal sequences which included four applications of dinoseb. As in the first studies, the average yield of peanuts was increased significantly by the insecti-

cide disulfoton. For further clarification about interaction effects on a wider spectrum of germplasm, the responses of six cultivars on four soil series to the systemic insecticide disulfoton and to five herbicidal treatments applied singly and in sequence were studied.

Materials and Methods

Seven experiments were conducted in 1976 and 1977 on Dothan sandy loam (Headland, AL), on Greenville sandy clay loam (Plains, GA), on Ruston loamy fine sand (Marianna, FL - 1976 only) and on Tifton sandy loam (Ashburn, GA). The peanut cultivars were 'GK 3' and 'Early Bunch' (virginia types), 'Florunner' and 'Tifrun' (runner types), and 'Tammot 74' and 'GK 19' (spanish types). Although dates varied among locations, all plantings were made during the traditional planting season from April 10 to May 20.

The experimental design at all locations was a split, split, split plot with four replicates. Either two- or four-row plots were used. Cultivars were whole plots and herbicidal treatments were split plots. A description of the herbicidal treatments follows: V was vernolate (*S*-propyl di-propylthiocarbamate) at 2.80 kg/ha applied as a preplant incorporated treatment; B was benefin (*N*-butyl-*N*-ethyl- α, α -trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-*p*-toluidine) at 1.68 kg/ha applied as a preplant incorporated treatment; A + ND was a mixture of alachlor [2-chloro-2',6'-diethyl-*N*-(methoxymethyl)acetanilide] plus naptalam (*N*-1-naphthylphthalamic acid) and dinoseb (2-*sec*-butyl-4,6-dinitrophenol) at 3.36 + 2.24 + 1.12 kg/ha, respectively, applied at the "cracking" stage; D was dinoseb at 0.84 kg/ha applied four times beginning at "cracking" plus 10 days; 2,4-DB was 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butyric acid at 0.45 kg/ha applied twice as a mid- to late season postemergence spray; "Sequence" consisted of serial treatment with all of the aforementioned herbicides; and finally, "Check" consisted of peanuts which received no herbicide. All treatments, including the check, were handweeded as needed throughout the season to eliminate weed competition as a factor influencing crop yield. If cultivation was needed to control weeds in the check plots, all of the treated plots were cultivated at the same time as the checks. The split, split, split plots were disulfoton (*O, O*-diethyl *S*-(2)ethylthio)ethyl phosphorodithioate at 1.12 kg/ha versus none. Disulfoton, formulated at 10% granules, was applied in the drill with the peanut seed. Other production practices followed the recommendations of the respective Cooperative Extension Service.

Each cultivar was harvested separately at maturity. The peanuts were dug with a commercial digger-shaker, windrowed, and combined within five days. Yield (from all studies) and harvest sample market quality data (from Greenville and Tifton soils) were subjected to analyses of variance and to Duncan's multiple range test using comparisons at the 5% level and, where noted, at the 10% level. Only those sets of treatment or interaction means which were statistically significant are presented and discussed.

The number of nematodes in the soil at the 0 to 20 cm depth was determined in 1976. Assays were made on the Greenville soil at planting, at midseason and at harvest. They were made on the Dothan and Tifton soils only at harvest. Ten soil cores (1.9 X 20 cm) were taken from the drill rows in each plot. The soil was composited and thoroughly mixed. Nematodes were extracted and counted by genera from a 150 cm³ subsample using the centrifuge-sugar-flotation method (4).

Results

Yield of peanut cultivars. The average yields of GK 3 and Tifrun were significantly higher than the other cultivars (Table 1). As in our previous studies, GK 3 consistent-

¹Cooperative investigations of AR, SEA, U.S. Dep. Agr.; Goldkist, Inc.; and the Agric. Exp. Sta. of Alabama, Florida, and Georgia.

²Respectively, Res. Agron., AR-SEA, U. S. Dep. Agric., Coastal Plain Experiment Station, Tifton, GA 31793; Alumni Prof., Auburn Univ., Auburn, AL 36830; Director, Goldkist Research Farm, Ashburn, GA 31714; Assoc. Prof., Univ. Florida, Gainesville, FL 32601; Assoc. Prof., Univ. Florida, Marianna, FL 32446; and Research Nematologist, AR-SEA, U. S. Dep. Agric., Tifton, GA 31793.

Table 1. Summary of peanut yields from cultivar-pesticide interaction studies on selected soil types in the Southeast peanut belt, 1976 and 1977.

Source of variation	Yield of in-shell peanuts (kg/ha) ^{a/}								Av.
	Soil Type				Soil Type				
	Dothan	Greenville	Ruston	Tifton	Dothan	Greenville	Tifton		
	1976				1977				
Cultivar	Averaged over herbicide and insecticide treatments								
GK 3	3390a	4400b	5270a	4340a	5450a	3730b	4260a	4410a	
Early Bunch	2740b	4950a	5620a	4070a	3740c	4480ab	3600b	4170b	
Florunner	2660b	4790a	4680b	3820a	4870b	4390ab	2670c	3980b	
Tiffrun	2600b	5080a	5480a	4490a	4650b	4780a	4600a	4530a	
Tamnut 74	2460b	2830d	3700c	2750c	1370d	3760b	2790c	2810d	
GK 19	2500b	4020c	4430b	3360bc	4140c	4490ab	3350b	3760c	
Herbicide Treatments ^{b/}	Averaged over cultivar and insecticide treatments								
V	2890ab	4340bc	5130ab	3860bc	4020NS	4240NS	3390ab	3980bc	
B	3150a	4670a	5300a	4250a	4140	4360	3720a	4230a	
A+ND	2720b	4480ab	5000ab	4040ab	4020	4350	3740a	4050b	
D	2740b	4200c	4240c	3680c	4310	4310	3570ab	3860c	
2,4-DB	2670b	4460ab	4940b	3920bc	4000	4320	3330b	3950c	
Sequence	1920c	3680d	4270c	3060d	3880	4140	3300b	3460d	
Check	2960ab	4590ab	5180ab	3900bc	3930	4160	3770a	4070b	
Insecticide	Averaged over cultivar and herbicide treatments								
Disulfoton	2820a	4390a ^{c/}	4950a	3840NS	4180a	4330a	3610a ^{c/}	4020a	
No disulfoton	2620b	4290b	4780b	3800	3910b	4210b	3490b	3870b	

^{a/} Means in the same column within the same set of averages not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 5% level except as indicated.

^{b/} In all tables, the herbicidal abbreviations are as follows: V is vernolate; B is benefin; A+ND is a mixture of alachlor-naptalam-dinoseb; D is dinoseb; 2,4-DB is 2,4-(dichlorophenoxy) butyric acid; sequence includes all of the individual herbicide treatments applied serially.

^{c/} Significance tested at the 10% level.

ly yielded higher than the other varieties on the Dothan soil. Florunner and Early Bunch produced intermediate yields. Early Bunch outyielded Florunner on the Ruston soil in 1976 and on the Tifton soil in 1977; however, Florunner outyielded Early Bunch by 1130 kg/ha on the Dothan soil in 1977. Average yields of the Spanish type cultivars were lower than for either the bunch or runner types with GK 19 generally yielding higher than Tamnut 74.

Effects of herbicides on yields. Peanut yields at all locations were reduced significantly in 1976 by intensive sequential applications of the five herbicidal treatments (Table 1). In addition, the repeated dinoseb treatments reduced yields on the Ruston and Greenville soils. On the Tifton soil, benefin increased yields in 1976. In 1977, yield decreases did not occur from any herbicide treatment on the Dothan or Greenville soils; however, the intensive sequential treatments and 2,4-DB applied alone reduced yields on the Tifton soil.

Effect of disulfoton on yield. When averaged over all experiments disulfoton treated peanuts yielded significantly higher than the nontreated (Table 1). The average difference of 150 kg/ha was almost three times the magnitude observed in our previous work (2). The greatest difference due to disulfoton occurred on the Dothan soil with increases of 200 and 270 kg/ha in 1976 and 1977, respectively.

Interactions among cultivars and pesticides. Herbicides did not interact significantly with cultivars except on the Greenville soil in 1977 when yields of only the Tiffrun cultivar were increased by treatment with either benefin or dinoseb above the check but not above other herbicidal treatments (Table 2). Also, in 1977, on the Greenville soil, Tiffrun yields were increased significantly by disulfoton but disulfoton effects on other cultivars were not statistically significant. In general, fewer interactions among

Table 2. Peanut yields as affected by interaction of cultivars with pesticide treatments, Greenville soil, 1977^a.

Source of variation	Yield of in-shell peanuts (kg/ha)					
	Cultivars					
	GK-3	Early Bu.	Florunner	Tiffrun	Tamnut	GK-19
Herbicide Treatments	Interaction of herbicides and cultivars					
V	3380b	4890a	4330a	4720ab	3520a	4580a
B	4010a	4440ab	4370a	5270a	3770a	4320a
A+ND	3670ab	4780a	4670a	4760ab	3826a	4610a
D	3380b	4690a	4350a	4960a	3750a	4760a
2,4-DB	3810ab	4380ab	4330a	4760ab	3950a	4690a
Sequence	4010a	3970b	4370a	4730ab	3570a	4170a
Check	3810ab	4380ab	4290a	4240b	3940a	4340a
Insecticide Treatments	Interaction of insecticide and cultivars					
Disulfoton	3650a	4520a	4480a	4980a	3770a	4580a
No disulfoton	3800a	4430a	4300a	4580b	3760a	4410a

^{a/} Herbicide or insecticide means within a cultivar in the same column not followed by the same letter are significantly different.

cultivars and pesticides occurred in these studies in comparison to previous research (2).

Effect of pesticides on SMK's. The percentage of SMK's following herbicidal treatments from 1976 to 1977 are shown in Table 3. The herbicidal sequence consistently reduced SMK's of peanuts grown on the Greenville soil.

On the Tifton soil, a significant interaction of herbicides X disulfoton occurred in 1976 (Table 4) where the sequence treatment with no disulfoton reduced SMK's significantly.

Number of peanut plants. The number of peanut plants at harvest on the Tifton soil was reduced 11% in 1976 by herbicides applied in sequence (Table 5). Herbicides used singly did not significantly affect plant number. Compared to the check, disulfoton increased the number of plants by 4% in 1976, a difference which was statistically significant at the 5% level of probability.

Table 3. Percentage of sound mature kernels following herbicide treatments on Greenville soil, 1976-1977^a.

Herbicide treatment	Percent sound mature kernels	
	1976	1977
V	71.6 ab	67.9 ab
B	72.0 a	68.4 a
A+ND	70.1 ab	68.2 ab
D	70.9 ab	67.6 ab
2,4-DB	70.2 b	67.0 bc
Sequence	67.0 c	66.1 c
Check	71.8 ab	67.9 a

^{a/} Means in the same column not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 5% level.

Table 4. Percentage of sound mature kernels from interaction study, Tifton, soil, 1976^a.

Herbicide treatment	Percent sound mature kernels	
	Disulfoton	No Disulfoton
V	72.00 a	71.22 a
B	71.72 a	72.22 a
A+ND	70.50 a	70.94 a
D	70.72 a	71.17 a
2,4-DB	69.65 a	70.83 a
Sequence	68.50 a	65.50 b
Check	72.24 a	71.44 a

^{a/} Means within the same line not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 5% level.

Table 5. Number of peanut plants at harvest following herbicide and insecticide treatments, Tifton soil, 1976-1977^a.

Source of variation	Average number of plants/plot	
	1976	1977
V	103 a	116 a
B	99 a	118 a
A+ND	102 a	120 a
D	100 a	117 a
2,4-DB	103 a	114 a
Sequence	91 b	123 a
Check	102 a	120 a

<u>Insecticide</u>		
Disulfoton	102 a	119 a
No disulfoton	98 b	118 a

a/ Means in the same column within the same set of averages not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 5% level.

An interaction between herbicides and disulfoton on the number of peanut plants occurred only once (Table 6). The preplant incorporated treatments, both vernolate and benefin, were characterized by fewer plants where no disulfoton was applied.

Effects of nematodes. No major peanut nematode pathogen was recovered in economically damaging numbers from any of the soil types. Ring nematode, *Cricconemoides* sp., a weak pathogen of peanuts, was the only nematode recovered in large numbers from the Tifton soil and in low numbers from the Dothan and Greenville soils. Root-knot larvae, *Meloidogyne* sp., were recovered from a few plots on the Greenville soil. The application of disulfoton did not significantly affect nematode population levels. Peanut pods from the Dothan and Greenville soils, examined at harvest time, were virtually free of nematode damage.

Table 6. Interaction of herbicide treatments and disulfoton on the number of peanut plants, Tifton soil, 1976^a.

Herbicide treatment	Average number of plants/plot	
	Disulfoton	No Disulfoton
V	121 a	112 b
B	122 a	114 b
A+ND	119 a	120 a
D	117 a	117 a
2,4-DB	113 a	115 a
Sequence	120 a	125 a
Check	117 a	122 a

a/ Means within the same line not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 5% level.

Discussion

In previous field studies in Oklahoma by Cargill and Santelmann (1), insecticides and herbicides did not interact significantly. In our studies, interactions sometimes occurred; but detrimental interactions between the systemic insecticide and herbicides, between insecticide and cultivars and between herbicides and cultivars were minimal. However, intensive sequential herbicide treat-

ment, in five of the seven studies reported herein, reduced peanut yields significantly. In addition, dinoseb reduced yields once and 2,4-DB reduced yields twice when used as individual treatments. No other herbicides used alone reduced average yields significantly. In our interaction research conducted from 1973 to 1977 (including the studies reported herein) intensive herbicide sequences reduced peanut yields in 14 of 21 experiments.

Conversely, disulfoton increased average peanut yields in 13 of 21 studies. Yield increases promoted by disulfoton were 3.6% when averaged over all 21 experiments. According to Minton and Morgan (5), control of thrips by systemic pesticides such as disulfoton does not contribute to increased crop yields except where extreme damage occurs although control of the more pathogenic nematodes does increase yields. However, nematode assays indicated that the yield increases were not associated with their control. Therefore, the cause of disulfoton related yield increases remains obscure.

In the 14 studies from 1973 to 1977 in which various peanut cultivars were used, disulfoton interacted with cultivars in five experiments while herbicides interacted with cultivars only three times. Among the 21 studies, only one interaction between disulfoton and herbicides occurred.

Our data suggest that severe detrimental interactions among the systemic insecticide disulfoton, herbicides and peanut cultivars are unlikely to occur with the herbicides and peanut cultivars now being used in production systems. However, our results suggest that intensive sequences of herbicides should be used with utmost discretion. Widespread adoption of close-row patterns, which increase the competitiveness of the crop with weeds, may eliminate the need for intensive postemergence herbicide applications in many situations.

Literature Cited

1. Cargill, Roland L. and P. W. Santelmann. 1971. Response of peanuts to combinations of herbicides with other pesticides. *Weed Sci.* 19:24-27.
2. Hauser, E. W., G. A. Buchanan, W. J. Ethredge, M. D. Jellum and S. R. Cecil. 1976. Interactions among peanut cultivars, herbicide sequences, and a systemic insecticide. *Peanut Sci.* 3:56-62.
3. Hauser, E. W., G. A. Buchanan, W. L. Currey, W. J. Ethredge, D. W. Gorbet, J. W. Slaughter and C. W. Swann. 1977. Response of Florunner peanuts to planting dates, herbicide sequence, and a systemic insecticide. *Weed Sci.* 25:203-211.
4. Jenkins, W. R. 1964. A rapid centrifugal flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 48:692.
5. Minton, N. A. and L. W. Morgan. 1974. Evaluation of systemic and non-systemic pesticides for insect and nematode control in peanuts. *Peanut Sci.* 1:91-98.

Accepted October 23, 1981